

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,586	08/28/2003	Norman D. Harty	2819-001	7448
22208 75	590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
ROBERTS ABOKHAIR & MARDULA			FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W	
SUITE 1000 11800 SUNRIS	E VALLEY DRIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11.0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/650,586	HARTY, NORMAN	N D.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Christopher W. Fulton	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shet with the c	orrespond nce ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		to butbo Eversine	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the			₹I.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/24/03</u> .			O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/650,586

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP \$ 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snowden or Chapman.

The device as claimed is disclosed by either Snowden or Chapman with a laser, a measuring device, and a target.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Randolph.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Randolph with a laser, first down markers, a chain, and a target.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/650,586

Art Unit: 2859

J.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden or Chapman.

The device as claimed is disclosed by either Snowden or Chapman as stated in the rejection recited above for claim 1, but lacks the specific wavelength claimed for the laser, first down markers with a chain, and the specific size claimed for the target. Both of the base references disclose using a laser which is visible. The wavelength claimed is known to be in the readily visible range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a laser with a wavelength in the claimed range in either Snowden or Chapman to the laser can be readily seen during use of the device. First down markers separated by a chain as old and well known in the art to indicate first downs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use first down markers connected by chains in either Snowden or Chapman to clearly indicate the distance needed for a first down. With respect to the specific size of the target, lacking some criticality, size is not considered a patentably distinct feature. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the target

Application/Control Number: 10/650,586

Art Unit: 2859

Ci,

of either Snowden or Chapman the claimed size to provide a desired size to view the laser hitting a target.

7. Claims 2-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Randolph.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Randolph as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1 and 5, but lacks the specific wavelength claimed for the laser and the specific size claimed for the target. Randolph discloses using a laser which is visible. The wavelength claimed is known to be in the readily visible range. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a laser with a wavelength in the claimed range in Randolph to the laser can be readily seen during use of the device. With respect to the specific size of the target, lacking some criticality, size is not considered a patentably distinct feature. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the target of either Randolph the claimed size to provide a desired size to view the laser hitting a target.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 11 and 12 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W & F 6:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2859

1

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher W. Fulton

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

CWF